



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/908,697	07/20/2001	Kazutaka Matsueda	35.G1362 D	1944	
5514	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			GARCIA, C	GARCIA, GABRIEL I	
	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2624		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commence	09/908,697	MATSUEDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gabriel I Garcia	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will, by some analysis of the period for reply will.	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 July 2004					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 23-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of t	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No. <u>08/352,883</u> . received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview So	ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371° of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2624

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 23-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> (5,557,736).

With regard to claim 23, <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> teaches a data processing apparatus (1) connectable to a LAN (4), comprising: an input unit adapted to input data(inherently reads in the data input to the computer 1, such as a keyboard); a storage unit (12) adapted to store data the data inputted (e.g. col. 5, lines 6-

3

10); an identification unit adapted to obtain user information about a user for whom the data inputted by said (reads on figs. 2-4); a transfer unit (e.g. col. 5, lines 1-5) adapted to transfer the data inputted by said input unit to a terminal (20a or 2, 5 or 7) connected to the LAN (e.g. reads on fig. 75 and 76, and col. 30, lines 48-67), when it is impossible to store data inputted by the input unit in the storage unit (reads on col. 5, lines 1-19); and a generation unit adapted to generate a predetermined notification, based on the user information obtained by the identification unit, to notify the user that the data has been transferred by said transfer unit, the notification including information indicating the terminal to which the data is transferred by the transfer unit (reads on the abstract, and figs. 1,5,7, and 9 which describes how a data processing apparatus has connection to different terminal and can communicate with these terminal connected within the LAN, and figs. depict how the user is inform that the data has been transferred to another terminal, and a sending unit (11), adapted to send the predetermined notification generated by said generation unit to the user corresponding to the user information obtained by said identification unit as mail (reads on abstract and figs. 1,5,7, and 9, which depicts how the user gets notify by sending an email).

With regard to claim 24, <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> further teaches a storage unit adapted to store information used to discriminate to which terminal the data is transferred by said transfer unit

(reads on abstract and figs. 1,5,7, and 9).

With regard to claim 25, <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> further teaches the transfer unit transfers the data inputted by said input unit when a printer (18a) for printing the data is in an error state (reads on fig. 1).

With regard to claims 26 and 27, the limitations of claims 26 and 27 are covered by the limitations of the claims 23-25 above, which teach the means and the steps to produce the limitations of claim 26. The steps described above, can be program and stored in the memory (6) of the server of <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> to produce the process code as claimed by claim 27.

With regard to claims 28-30, the limitations of claims 28-30 are covered by the limitations of the claims 23-25 above, which teach the means and the steps to produce the limitations of claim 26, and <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> further teaches a receiver, adapted to receive data through a communication line from a sender (inherently reads on fig. 1, line 27, which allow the computer to receive data from a sender 5-7, using the LAN 4).

With regard to claims 31-32, <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> further inherently teaches the transfer of data in accordance with an internet

Art Unit: 2624

protocol and/or TCP/IP protocol (e.g. col. 3, lines 19-50, the TSS line is well known to use internet protocol as TCP/IP).

With regard to claim 33, <u>Hirosawa et al.</u> further teaches said transfer unit selects the data to be transferred in accordance with a priority (e.g. col. 5, lines 19-41).

Conclusion

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Gabriel I. Garcia** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Gabriel I. Garcia Primary Examiner January 7, 2005

GABRIEL GĂRCIA